# **Reconciling LCDM with the low mass satellites of the Milky Way and M31**

# Azadeh Fattahi

Institute for Computational Cosmology

**Durham University** 



with Julio Navarro (Victoria) Carlos Frenk (ICC) Kyle Oman (Groningen) Till Sawala (Helsinki)

Image: APOSTLE simulation – T. Sawala

## Outline (Fattahi+2016, Fattahi+2018, Sawala+2016)

- Small Scale problems of LCDM in the Local Group
- The APOSTLE simulations
- Mass of the Local Group dwarfs and the 'too-big-to-fail' problem
- Extremely low mass satellites of the MW and M31
- LG dwarfs and MOND



# The Local Group of galaxies

- Two large spiral galaxies, MW and M31, 1 Mpc apart
- ~100 dwarf galaxies
- Nearest large neighbour (~3.5 Mpc)



A. Fattahi

# **ACDM** and the small scales problems





- Missing Satellites
- Core-cusp
- Too big to fail





**MITP - 2018** 

100

# Estimating the mass of dwarf spheroidals



## Dwarf galaxies, and the too-big-to-fail (TBTF) problem



Subhalos (satellites) in simulations are more massive than MW satellites ?

A. Fattahi

## **EAGLE simulation** Evolution and Assembly of Galaxies and their Environments

### 100 сМрс



#### Physics included:

- Star formation
- Metal dependant cooling
- Stellar evolution
- Feedback from evolving stars and supernova feedback,
- UV radiation background,
- Growth and evolution of SMBH and AGN feedback

Box size	Particle resolution
100 сМрс	$1.8  imes 10^6 M_{sun}$

#### A. Fattahi

### APOSTLE project A Project Of Simulating The Local Environment

Zoom-in hydrodynamical simulations of **12 Local Group like environments** using **EAGLE** galaxy formation model

LG like:

- Separation of MW-M31
- Relative radial and tangential velocity of MW-M31
- Recession velocity of surrounding substructures (dwarfs)

Mass of MW+M31

Resolution	$m_{p,gas}(solar masses)$
L1 (5 LGs)	$10^{4}$
L2 (12 LGs)	10 <sup>5</sup>
L3 (12 LGs)	$10^{6}$



#### A. Fattahi

## **Stellar mass-halo mass relation**



A. Fattahi

**MITP - 2018** 

<sup>o</sup> 11

### Are observations and simulations consistent?

Mass (i.e.  $V_{1/2}$ ) of the classical satellites of the MW compared to APOSTLE resultes

satellites are matched by stellar mass

Masses are measured within similar radii



#### Too-big-to-fail and the number of massive subhalos

Number of massive subhalos is reduced in hydrodynamical simulations compared to DMO simulations

Number of massive subhalos is dependent on the mass of the MW





# Take away points (1)

Is the too-big-to-fail problem resolved for classical satellites of the MW?

- Simulations are consistent with observation when we compare the mass at the half-light radii
- Number of massive subhalos are lower in hydrodynamical simulations
- Mass of the MW should be less than 2.5e12  $\rm M_{sun}$

# Stellar mass - $V_{1/2}$ of LG dwarfs





#### A. Fattahi

# **Tidal stripping of satellites**



A. Fattahi

# Tidal stripping of dSph galaxies

For spheroidal systems embedde in cuspy DM halos:

Change in structural parameters can be uniqly expressed in terms of total mass loss within the half-stellar mass radius





Penarrubia+2008; Errani+2015

Fattahi+2018

A. Fattahi

# **Tidal stripping of satellites**



A. Fattahi

**MITP - 2018** 

21

# Tidal stripping of satellites the progenitors



Breaking news: according to Gaia DR2, pericentre of Crater 2 orbit is relatively small ~ 20kpc +/- 10

A. Fattahi

#### Mass discrepancy-acceleration relation (MDAR)



25

#### **MDAR and tidal stripping**



A. Fattahi

# Take away points (2)

- The steep shape of the stellar mass-halo mass in APOSTLE predicts that tidal stripping must play an important role in the evolution of dwarf satellites galaxies. The extreme cases include Cra 2, And XIX, and And XXV who must have lost 99% of their stellar mass in the past.
- The same level of stripping that explains the low mass of dwarf satellites, can explain their deviations from the stellar mass-size, and metallicityvelocity dispersion relations.
- Tidal stripping naturally explains the scatter in the MDAR relation of dwarf satellites.

