New(!) Experimental approach:



High precision measurement of  $a_{\mu}^{HLO}$  with a 150 GeV  $\mu$  beam on Be target at CERN (through the elastic scattering  $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e$ )



Statistical accuracy on  $a_{\mu}^{HLO}$ : 0.3%!

G. Venanzoni, Seminar at CERN, 5 October 2017

# Why measuring $\Delta \alpha_{had}(t)$ with a 150 GeV $\mu$ beam on e<sup>-</sup> target?

It looks an ideal process!

- $\mu e \rightarrow \mu e$  is pure t-channel (at LO)
- It gives o<-t<0.161 GeV<sup>2</sup> (o<x<0.93)</li>
- The kinematics is very simple: t=-2m<sub>e</sub>E<sub>e</sub>
- High boosted system gives access to all angles (t) in the cms region
   θ<sub>e</sub><sup>LAB</sup><32 mrad (E<sub>e</sub>>1 GeV)
   θ<sub>μ</sub><sup>LAB</sup><5 mrad
   </li>
- It allows using the same detector for signal and normalization
- Events at x~0.3 (t~-10<sup>-3</sup> GeV<sup>2</sup>) can be used as normalization (Δα<sub>had</sub>(t) <10<sup>-5</sup>)
   G. Venanzoni, MUonE @MITP, Mainz 19 February 2018



# **MUonE : signal/normalization region**



# **Detector considerations**

- Modular apparatus: 20 layers of ~1 cm Be (target), each coupled to 1(0.5) m distant Si (0.3 mm) planes. It provides a 0.02 mrad resolution on the scattering angle
- The t=q<sup>2</sup> <0 of the interaction is determined by the electron (or muon) scattering angle (a` la NA7)
- ECAL and μ Detector located downstream to solve PID ambiguity below 5 mrad. Above that, angular measurement gives correct PID [see Ignatov's talk]
- It provides uniform full acceptance, with the potential to keep the systematic errors at 10<sup>-5</sup> (main effect is the multiple scattering for normalization which can be studied by data)
- Statistical considerations show that a **0.3%** error can be achieved on  $a_{\mu}^{HLO}$  in 2 years of data taking with 2x10<sup>7</sup>  $\mu$ /s



# Muon beam M2 at CERN [see Bernhard's talk]

"Forty years ago, on 7 May 1977, CERN inaugurated the world's largest accelerator at the time – the Super Proton Synchrotron".



# $I_{beam} > 10^7 \text{ muon/s, } E_{\mu} = 150 \text{ GeV}$

# Measuring e- and muon angle: Repetition (x50) of this single module

[see Marconi's talk]



## hit resolution ~10 µm

expected angular resolution ~ 10  $\mu$ m / 0.5 m = 0.02 mrad

[see Mersi' talk]

# **Systematics**

## [see Marconi's talk]

- 1. Acceptance
- 2. Tracking
- 3. Trigger
- 4. PID

## Full simulation needed

- 5. Knwoledge of muon momentum
- 6. Effects of E<sub>e</sub> energy cut
- 7. Signal/Background: It requires a dedicated event generator.
- 8. Uncertainty in the location of interaction vertices: Segmented/ active target to resolve the vertex position
- 9. Uncertainty in the muon beam momentum: Scattering kinematics to determine the beam momentum
- Effects of Multiple Scattering (must be known at ≤1%): It requires dedicated work on simulation and measurements (test beam).
- 11. Theoretical uncertainty on the mu-e cross section (see later)

# All the systematic effects must be known to ensure an error on the cross section < 10ppm

# **Multiple Scattering resolution:**

a worst-case scenario



# **Results from Test Beam**

[see Principe's talk]

Check GEANT MSC prediction and populate the 2D ( $\theta_e$  ,  $\theta_\mu$ ) scattering plane

- 27 Sep-3 October 2017 at CERN "H8 Beam Line"
- Adapted UA9 Apparatus
- Beam energy: e- of 12/20 GeV;  $\mu$  of 160 GeV
- 10<sup>7</sup> events with C targets of different thickness (2,4,8,-20mm)



## Adapted UA9 apparatus

5 Si planes: 2 before and 3 after the target, 3.8x3.8 cm<sup>2</sup> intrinsic resolution ~100µrad (with preliminary alignment)

# Test Beam setup and target

Thanks to the UA9 Collaboration (particularly M. Garattini, R. Iaconageli, M. Pesaresi), J. Bernhard







# (Preliminary) Analysis of Test Beam data



- With a preliminary analysis: data-MC agree on  $\sigma$ (core) at 2%
- Improvement expected due to better alignment and track fit
- Analysis of tails in progress

# Plans for 2018

## [see Matteuzzi's talk]

Build up and test a full scale prototype (2 modules).



- Run of a 2 full scale modules on a muon beam in North area or on M2 (behind COMPASS)
- Study of the detector performance: signal/background; trigger; understand the systematics
- Try a first measurement (at 10-20% error) of  $a_{\mu}^{HLO}$

## [see Brizzolari's talk]

# The silicon detectors

# Sensors developed for AGILE, being used by LEMMA

#### Table 1 Main features of the AGILE silicon detector

Item	Value
Dimension (cm <sup>2</sup> )	9.5 × 9.5
Thickness (µm)	410
Readout strips	384
Readout pitch (µm)	242
Physical pitch (µm)	121
Bias resistor $(M\Omega)$	40
AC coupling Al resistance ( $\Omega$ /cm)	4.5
Coupling capacitance (pF)	527
Leakage current (nA/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.5



## M. Prest et al., NIM A, 501:280–287, 2003

Daniela Lietti, PhD thesis. VISION: a Versatile and Innovative SIlicON tracking system

http://insulab.dfm.uninsubria.it/images/download\_files/thesis\_phd\_lietti.pdf

# Status of the Collaboration and plans

- The proposal has been presented in September at the National Scientific Committee 1 (CSN1) of INFN. Funds have been allocated to contribute effectively to a fullscale test of detector prototype in 2018.
- Collaboration is growing and interest from International groups from CERN, Poland, Russia (Novosibirsk), UK has been expressed.
- Results so far encouraging; we are working hard toward a formal Lol.

# Plans

- 2018-2019
  - Detector optimization studies: Simulation and Test Beam
  - Theoretical studies
  - Set up a collaboration
  - Letter of Intent to the SPSC
- 2020-2021
  - Detector construction and installation LHC roadmap, according to MTP 2016-2020\*
- 2022-2024
  - Start the data taking after LS2 to mea  $\log Shutdown (LS)$  (not necessarily the ultimate precision)



# Conclusion

- Exciting times for the muon g-2
- Alternative/competitive determinations of  $a_{\mu}^{HLO}$  are essential:
  - Time-like (dispersive) approach
  - Lattice
  - Space-like approach (MUonE)
- MUonE: a new project aiming at an "unprecedented" accuracy both in experiment and in theory!
- $\rightarrow$  Many progresses in the last year
  - Detector optimization; Test beam
  - Theory
  - Clear plan for 2018
  - Growing interest from both experiment and theory community
- A lot of work ahead us (with a very tight schedule)
- $\rightarrow$  Let's discuss it at this workshop!

# Thanks MITP for supporting us!

G. Abbiendi, M. Alacevich, M. Bonomi, A. Broggio, C. Carloni Calame, E. Conti, D. Galli, M. Fael, A. Ferroglia, F.V. Ignatov,
M. Incagli, U. Marconi, M.K. Marinković, P. Mastrolia, C.
Matteuzzi, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, G.Ossola, L.Pagani, M.
Passera, P. Paradisi, C. Patrignani, F. Piccinini, F. Pisani, M.
Prest, A. Primo, A. Principe, M. Pruna, M. Rocco, U.
Schubert, L. Tancredi, R. Tenchini, L. Trentadue, E. Vallazza,
G. Venanzoni, A. Vicini, E. Del Nobile...

# **JOIN US!**

#### Today

# **Experimental Session**

	Results from Test Beam	Antonio PRINCIPE et al.	
15:00		-	
	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	14:45 - 15:30	
	Simulation of Multiple Scattering in GEANT4	Vladimir IVANTCHENKO	
16:00	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	15:30 - 16:15	00.00
	Wednesday		09.00
11:00	Review of M2 Beam at CERN	Johannes BERNHARD	
	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	11:00 - 11:45	10:00
12:00	Review of the experimental apparatus & systematic errors	Umberto MARCONI	
	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	11:45 - 12:30	
	Lunch		
13:00			
	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	12:30 - 14:00	
14:00	PID at MUonE	Dr. Fedor IGNATOV	
	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	14:00 - 14:45	
15:00	Silicon sensors: state of the art and possible options for MUonE	Stefano MERSI	
	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	14:45 - 15:30	
	Coffee break		
	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	15:30 - 16:0	o
16:00	Tracking setup preparation for test beam 2018	Claudia BRIZZOLAR	a I
	02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University	16:00 - 16:4	5
17:00	Work plan for 2018	Clara MATTEUZZ	r
	02 430 Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenherg University	16:45 - 17:3	

G. Venanzoni, MUonE @MITP, Mainz 19 February 2018

# Conclusion & outlook of the experimental session Graziano VENANZONI 02.430, Mainz Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University 09:00 - 10:45

Thursday

## Very interesting talks!

# Spare

## Experimental considerations

Using Bhabha at small angle (to emphasize t-channel, in 2016! contribution) to extract  $\Delta \alpha$ :  $\left(\frac{\alpha(t)}{\alpha(0)}\right)^2 \sim \frac{d\sigma_{ee \rightarrow ee}(t)}{d\sigma^{0}(t)}$  Where  $d\sigma^{0}_{MC}$  is the MC prediction for Bhabha process with  $\alpha(t)=\alpha(0)$  and there are

$$\left(\frac{\alpha(t)}{\alpha(0)}\right)^2 \sim \frac{d\sigma_{ee \to ee}(t)}{d\sigma_{MC}^0(t)}$$

corrections due to RC...

$$\Delta \alpha_{had}(t) = 1 - \left(\frac{\alpha(t)}{\alpha(0)}\right)^{-1} - \Delta \alpha_{lept}(t) \qquad \Delta \alpha_{lep}(t) \text{ theoretically well known!}$$

Which experimental accuracy we are aiming at?  $\delta\Delta\alpha_{had} \sim 1/2$  fractional accuracy on  $d\sigma(t)/d\sigma_{MC}^0(t)$ .

If we assume to measure  $\delta\Delta\alpha_{had}$  at 5% at the peak of the integrand ( $\Delta\alpha_{had}$ ) ~10<sup>-3</sup> at x=0.92)  $\rightarrow$  fractional accuracy on d $\sigma$ (t)/d $\sigma$ <sup>0</sup><sub>MC</sub>(t) ~ 10<sup>-4</sup> !

Very challenging measurement (one order of magnitude improvement respect to date) for systematic error

#### last talk in 2016! What can be done a KLOE/KLOE<sub>2</sub>? We did the following simulation: 20 points between 20°<0<100° (0.03<-t<0.59 Frot GeV<sup>2</sup>; 0.78<x<0.98) @ √s=1 GeV Cryos Barrel EMC For each point $\delta \sigma_{e+e-} \sigma_{e+e-} \sim 10^{-4}$ (stat and syst) We fit $\Delta \alpha_{had}(t)$ using our points+ pQCD for -t>10 GeV<sup>2</sup> with a polynomial function (like lattice) QCa 350000 hadr5n12 Drift chamber Pade 300000 pQCD oseudo-data 2500002 200000 \$ 150000 100000 50000 6 m -60-50-30 -20 -10 $t (\text{GeV}^2)$ 1800 1600 9 udo-data 1400 E 1200 1000 800 600 400 200 03 04 0.5 0.6 07 0.8 0.9

T

#### last talk in 2016! What can be done a KLOE/KLOE<sub>2</sub>? We did the following simulation: 20 points between 20°<0<100° (0.03<-t<0.59 From GeV<sup>2</sup>; 0.78<x<0.98) @ √s=1 GeV Cryost Barrel EMC For each point $\delta \sigma_{e+e-} \sigma_{e+e-} \sim 10^{-4}$ (stat and syst) We fit $\Delta \alpha_{had}(t)$ using our points+ pQCD for -t>10 GeV<sup>2</sup> with a polinomial function (like lattice) QCa 250000hadr5n12 Pade 200000 Drift chamber DOCD pseudo-data <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> 150000 (1) 100000 ais 50000 6 m -10-6t (GeV<sup>2</sup>) 2000 1800 1600 10 $\delta a_{\mu}^{\text{HLO}}$ ~3%<sub>stat</sub> $\oplus$ 7%<sub>syst</sub> 1400 ((E)) 1900 1000 S 800 ais: (preliminary) F 600 르 <sub>400</sub> Pade DOCD 200 nseudo-data

0.95

0.8

0.75

0.85

0.9

# Measurement at Novosibirsk ( $\sqrt{s=2 \text{ GeV}}$ )?

- The region 0.2<x<0.98 can be explored at  $\sqrt{s}=2$  GeV with 2°<0<45° (for x>0.98 pQCD could be used) Normalization can be provided by Bhabha at very spatiangle (2°<0<5°) where  $\Delta \alpha^{had} < 10^{-5}$  (1% of the  $\Delta \alpha^{had}(x=0.92)$ )
- L=10<sup>32</sup> would allow to do a measurement of  $a_u^{HLO} < 1\%$  within 1 year (statistically)

