Using beta decay to extract $|V_{ud}|$ and test CKM unitarity

J.C. Hardy

Cyclotron Institute
Texas A&M University

with
I.S. Towner

CURRENT STATUS OF V_{ud}



SUPERALLOWED $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ BETA DECAY

BASIC WEAK-DECAY EQUATION

$$ft = \frac{K}{G_V^{\,2} \langle \tau \rangle^2}$$

f = statistical rate function: $f(Z, Q_{EC})$

t = partial half-life: $f(t_{1/2}, BR)$

G_V = vector coupling constant

$\langle \tau \rangle$ = Fermi matrix element



SUPERALLOWED $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ BETA DECAY

BASIC WEAK-DECAY EQUATION

$$\boxed{ft = \frac{K}{G_V^2 \langle \tau \rangle^2}}$$

f = statistical rate function: $f(Z, Q_{EC})$
t = partial half-life: $f(t_{1/2}, BR)$
G_V = vector coupling constant
$\langle \tau \rangle$ = Fermi matrix element



INCLUDING RADIATIVE AND ISOSPIN-SYMMETRY-BREAKING CORRECTIONS

$$\boxed{\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}}$$

SUPERALLOWED $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ BETA DECAY

BASIC WEAK-DECAY EQUATION

$$\boxed{ft = \frac{K}{G_V^2 \langle \tau \rangle^2}}$$

f = statistical rate function: $f(Z, Q_{EC})$

t = partial half-life: $f(t_{1/2}, BR)$

G_V = vector coupling constant

$\langle \tau \rangle$ = Fermi matrix element



INCLUDING RADIATIVE AND ISOSPIN-SYMMETRY-BREAKING CORRECTIONS

$$\boxed{\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)\,[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}}$$

$f(Z, Q_{EC})$
~1.5%

f(nuclear structure)
0.3-1.5%

f(interaction)
~2.4%

SUPERALLOWED $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ BETA DECAY

BASIC WEAK-DECAY EQUATION

$$ft = \frac{K}{G_V^2 \langle \tau \rangle^2}$$

f = statistical rate function: $f(Z, Q_{EC})$

t = partial half-life: $f(t_{1/2}, BR)$

G_V = vector coupling constant

$\langle \tau \rangle$ = Fermi matrix element



INCLUDING RADIATIVE AND ISOSPIN-SYMMETRY-BREAKING CORRECTIONS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

$f(Z, Q_{EC})$
~1.5%

f(nuclear structure)
0.3-1.5%

f(interaction)
~2.4%

THEORETICAL UNCERTAINTIES
0.05 – 0.10%

CONTRIBUTION OF CORRECTION TERMS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$



CONTRIBUTION OF CORRECTION TERMS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$



CONTRIBUTION OF CORRECTION TERMS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1+\delta_R')[1-(\delta_C-\delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1+\Delta_R)}$$



THE PATH TO V_{ud}

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

THE PATH TO V_{ud}

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate the correction terms

Test for presence of a Scalar current

$\mathcal{F}t$ values constant

THE PATH TO V_{ud}

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1+\Delta_R)$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1+\delta_R')[1-(\delta_C-\delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1+\Delta_R)}}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate the correction terms

Test for presence of a Scalar current

$\boxed{\mathcal{F}t \text{ values constant}}$

WITH CVC VERIFIED

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

weak eigenstates

Cabibbo Kobayashi Maskawa (CKM) matrix

mass eigenstates

Obtain precise value of $G_V^2(1+\Delta_R)$

Determine V_{ud}^2

$$\boxed{V_{ud}^2 = G_V^2/G_\mu^2}$$

THE PATH TO V_{ud}

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate the correction terms

Test for presence of a Scalar current

$\mathcal{F}t$ values constant

WITH CVC VERIFIED

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

weak eigenstates

Cabibbo Kobayashi Maskawa (CKM) matrix

mass eigenstates

Obtain precise value of $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

Determine V_{ud}^2

$$V_{ud}^2 = G_V^2/G_\mu^2$$

Test CKM unitarity

$$V_{ud}^2 + V_{us}^2 + V_{ub}^2 = 1$$

THE PATH TO V_{ud}

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate the correction terms

Test for presence of a Scalar current

$\mathcal{F}t$ **values constant**

WITH CVC VERIFIED

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

weak eigenstates

Cabibbo Kobayashi Maskawa (CKM) matrix

mass eigenstates

Obtain precise ... **$(1 + \Delta_R)$**

Determin ...

T ... **unitarity**

$$V_{ud}^2 = G_V^2/G_\mu^2$$

$$V_{ud}^2 + V_{us}^2 + V_{ub}^2 = 1$$

ONLY POSSIBLE IF PRIOR CONDITIONS SATISFIED

WORLD DATA FOR $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY, 2017



Hardy & Towner
PRC 91, 025501 (2015)
updated to 2017

- 8 cases with *ft*-values measured to <0.05% precision; 6 more cases with 0.05-0.3% precision.

- ~220 individual measurements with compatible precision

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

WORLD DATA FOR $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY, 2017



Hardy & Towner
PRC 91, 025501 (2015)
updated to 2017



- **8 cases with *ft*-values measured to <0.05% precision; 6 more cases with 0.05-0.3% precision.**
- **~220 individual measurements with compatible precision**

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

WORLD DATA FOR $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY, 2017



Hardy & Towner
PRC 91, 025501 (2015)
updated to 2017



- 8 cases with *ft*-values measured to <0.05% precision; 6 more cases with 0.05-0.3% precision.

- ~220 individual measurements with compatible precision

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

WORLD DATA FOR $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY, 2017



Hardy & Towner
PRC 91, 025501 (2015)
updated to 2017



- 8 cases with *ft*-values measured to <0.05% precision; 6 more cases with 0.05-0.3% precision.
- ~220 individual measurements with compatible precision

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

WORLD DATA FOR $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY, 2017



Hardy & Towner
PRC 91, 025501 (2015)
updated to 2017



- 8 cases with *ft*-values measured to <0.05% precision; 6 more cases with 0.05-0.3% precision.
- ~220 individual measurements with compatible precision

Critical test passed:
$\mathcal{F}t$ values consistent

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

CALCULATED CORRECTIONS TO $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAYS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

CALCULATED CORRECTIONS TO $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAYS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

1. Radiative corrections

$$\delta_R' = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}[g(E_m) + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + \ldots]$$

One-photon brem. + low-energy γW-box

α $\quad Z\alpha^2$ $\quad Z^2\alpha^3$

CALCULATED CORRECTIONS TO $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAYS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

1. Radiative corrections

$$\delta_R' = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}[\underbrace{g(E_m)}_{\alpha} + \underbrace{\delta_2}_{Z\alpha^2} + \underbrace{\delta_3}_{Z^2\alpha^3} + \ldots]$$

One-photon brem. + low-energy γW-box

$$\Delta_R = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}[4\ln(m_Z/m_p) + \ln(m_p/m_A) + 2C_{Born} + \ldots]$$

High-energy γW-box
+ZW-box

CALCULATED CORRECTIONS TO $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAYS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

1. Radiative corrections

$$\delta_R' = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\left[\underbrace{g(E_m)}_{\alpha} + \underbrace{\delta_2}_{Z\alpha^2} + \underbrace{\delta_3}_{Z^2\alpha^3} + \ldots\right]$$

One-photon brem. + low-energy γW-box

$$\Delta_R = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\left[4\ln(m_Z/m_p) + \ln(m_p/m_A) + 2C_{Born} + \ldots\right]$$

High-energy γW-box
+ZW-box

universal

δ_{NS} Order-α axial-vector photonic contributions



CALCULATED CORRECTIONS TO $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAYS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

1. Radiative corrections

$$\delta'_R = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}[g(E_m) + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + \dots]$$ One-photon brem. + low-energy γW-box

α $Z\alpha^2$ $Z^2\alpha^3$

$$\Delta_R = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}[4\ln(m_Z/m_p) + \ln(m_p/m_A) + 2C_{Born} + \dots]$$ High-energy γW-box +ZW-box

universal

δ_{NS} Order-α axial-vector photonic contributions



2. Isospin symmetry-breaking corrections

δ_C Charge-dependent mismatch between parent and daughter analog states (members of the same isospin triplet).

CALCULATED CORRECTIONS TO $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAYS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

1. Radiative corrections

$$\delta_R' = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}[g(E_m) + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + \dots]$$

One-photon brem. + low-energy γW-box

α $\quad Z\alpha^2$ $\quad Z^2\alpha^3$

$$\Delta_R = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}[4\ln(m_Z/m_p) + \ln(m_p/m_A) + 2C_{Born} + \dots]$$

High-energy γW-box
+ZW-box

universal

δ_{NS} Order-α axial-vector photonic contributions



2. Isospin symmetry-breaking corrections

δ_C Charge-dependent mismatch between parent and daughter analog states (members of the same isospin triplet).

Dependent on nuclear structure

ISOSPIN SYMMETRY BREAKING CORRECTIONS

$$\delta_C = \delta_{C1} + \delta_{C2}$$

Difference in configuration mixing between parent and daughter.

- Shell-model calculation with well-established 2-body matrix elements.
- Charge dependence tuned to known single-particle energies and to measured IMME coefficients.
- Results also adjusted to measured non-analog 0^+ state energies.

Mismatch in radial wave function between parent and daughter.

- Full-parentage Saxon-Woods wave functions for parent and daughter.
- Matched to known binding energies and charge radii as obtained from electron scattering.
- Core states included based on measured spectroscopic factors.

ISOSPIN SYMMETRY BREAKING CORRECTIONS

$$\delta_C = \delta_{C1} + \delta_{C2}$$

δ_{C1}: Difference in configuration mixing between parent and daughter.

- Shell-model calculation with well-established 2-body matrix elements.
- Charge dependence tuned to known single-particle energies and to measured IMME coefficients.
- Results also adjusted to measured non-analog 0^+ state energies.

δ_{C2}: Mismatch in radial wave function between parent and daughter.

- Full-parentage Saxon-Woods wave functions for parent and daughter.
- Matched to known binding energies and charge radii as obtained from electron scattering.
- Core states included based on measured spectroscopic factors.



TESTS OF STRUCTURE-DEPENDENT CORRECTION TERMS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$



TESTS OF STRUCTURE-DEPENDENT CORRECTION TERMS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$



Only δ_C-δ_{NS} can be tested experimentally!

TESTS OF STRUCTURE-DEPENDENT CORRECTION TERMS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$



Only δ_C-δ_{NS} can be tested experimentally!

A. Test how well the transition-to-transition differences in δ_C-δ_{NS} match the data: *i.e.* do they lead to constant $\mathcal{F}t$ values, in agreement with CVC?

B. Measure the ratio of *ft* values for mirror $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ superallowed transitions and compare the results with calculations.

TESTS OF $(\delta_C - \delta_{NS})$ CALCULATIONS

A. Agreement with CVC:

$\mathcal{F}t$ values have been calculated with different models for δ_C, then tested for consistency. No theoretical uncertainties are included. Normalized χ^2 and confidence levels are shown.

Model	χ^2/N	CL(%)
SM-SW	1.37	17



TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

A. Agreement with CVC:

$\mathcal{F}t$ values have been calculated with different models for δ_C, then tested for consistency. No theoretical uncertainties are included. Normalized χ^2 and confidence levels are shown.

Model	χ^2/N	CL(%)
SM-SW	1.37	17
SM-HF	6.38	0





TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

A. Agreement with CVC:

$\mathcal{F}t$ values have been calculated with different models for δ_C, then tested for consistency. No theoretical uncertainties are included. Normalized χ^2 and confidence levels are shown.

Model	χ^2/N	CL(%)
SM-SW	1.37	17
SM-HF	6.38	0
DFT	4.26	0







TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

A. Agreement with CVC:

$\mathcal{F}t$ values have been calculated with different models for δ_C, then tested for consistency. No theoretical uncertainties are included. Normalized χ^2 and confidence levels are shown.

Model	χ^2/N	CL(%)
SM-SW	1.37	17
SM-HF	6.38	0
DFT	4.26	0
RHF-RPA	4.91	0
RH-RPA	3.68	0







TESTS OF STRUCTURE-DEPENDENT CORRECTION TERMS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$



Only δ_C-δ_{NS} can be tested experimentally!

A. Test how well the transition-to-transition differences in δ_C-δ_{NS} match the data: *i.e.* do they lead to constant $\mathcal{F}t$ values, in agreement with CVC?

B. Measure the ratio of *ft* values for mirror $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ superallowed transitions and compare the results with calculations.

TESTS OF (δ_c-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

B. Measurements of mirror superallowed transitions:



TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

B. Measurements of mirror superallowed transitions:

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right]$$

$$\frac{ft_A}{ft_B} = \frac{(1 + \delta_R'^B)[1 - (\delta_C^B - \delta_{NS}^B)]}{(1 + \delta_R'^A)[1 - (\delta_C^A - \delta_{NS}^A)]}$$

$$= 1 + (\delta_R'^B - \delta_R'^A) + (\delta_{NS}^B - \delta_{NS}^A) - (\delta_C^B - \delta_C^A)$$



TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

B. Measurements of mirror superallowed transitions:

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})]$$

$$\frac{ft_A}{ft_B} = \frac{(1 + \delta'^{B}_R)[1 - (\delta^B_C - \delta^B_{NS})]}{(1 + \delta'^{A}_R)[1 - (\delta^A_C - \delta^A_{NS})]}$$

$$= 1 + (\delta'^{B}_R - \delta'^{A}_R) + (\delta^B_{NS} - \delta^A_{NS}) - (\delta^B_C - \delta^A_C)$$





TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

B. Measurements of mirror superallowed transitions:

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})]$$

$$\frac{ft_A}{ft_B} = \frac{(1 + \delta_R'^B)[1 - (\delta_C^B - \delta_{NS}^B)]}{(1 + \delta_R'^A)[1 - (\delta_C^A - \delta_{NS}^A)]}$$

$$= 1 + (\delta_R'^B - \delta_R'^A) + (\delta_{NS}^B - \delta_{NS}^A) - (\delta_C^B - \delta_C^A)$$





TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

B. Measurements of mirror superallowed transitions:

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1+\delta_R')\left[1-(\delta_C-\delta_{NS})\right]$$



$$\frac{ft_A}{ft_B} = \frac{(1+\delta_R'^B)[1-(\delta_C^B-\delta_{NS}^B)]}{(1+\delta_R'^A)[1-(\delta_C^A-\delta_{NS}^A)]}$$

$$= 1 + (\delta_R'^B - \delta_R'^A) + (\delta_{NS}^B - \delta_{NS}^A) - (\delta_C^B - \delta_C^A)$$



TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

B. Measurements of mirror superallowed transitions:

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})]$$

$$\frac{ft_A}{ft_B} = \frac{(1 + \delta_R'^B)[1 - (\delta_C^B - \delta_{NS}^B)]}{(1 + \delta_R'^A)[1 - (\delta_C^A - \delta_{NS}^A)]}$$

$$= 1 + (\delta_R'^B - \delta_R'^A) + (\delta_{NS}^B - \delta_{NS}^A) - (\delta_C^B - \delta_C^A)$$





TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

B. Measurements of mirror superallowed transitions:

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1+\delta_R')[1-(\delta_C-\delta_{NS})]$$

$$\frac{ft_A}{ft_B} = \frac{(1+\delta_R'^B)[1-(\delta_C^B-\delta_{NS}^B)]}{(1+\delta_R'^A)[1-(\delta_C^A-\delta_{NS}^A)]}$$

$$= 1 + (\delta_R'^B - \delta_R'^A) + (\delta_{NS}^B - \delta_{NS}^A) - (\delta_C^B - \delta_C^A)$$





TESTS OF (δ_C-δ_{NS}) CALCULATIONS

B. Measurements of mirror superallowed transitions:

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})]$$



$$\frac{ft_A}{ft_B} = \frac{(1 + \delta_R'^B)[1 - (\delta_C^B - \delta_{NS}^B)]}{(1 + \delta_R'^A)[1 - (\delta_C^A - \delta_{NS}^A)]}$$

$$= 1 + (\delta_R'^B - \delta_R'^A) + (\delta_{NS}^B - \delta_{NS}^A) - (\delta_C^B - \delta_C^A)$$



RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally
determine $G_V^{\,2}(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of
the Vector current (CVC)

RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1+\Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1+\delta_R')[1-(\delta_C-\delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1+\Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

G_V constant to ± 0.011%



$\overline{\mathcal{F}t}$ = 3072.1(7)

$G_V(1+\Delta_R)^{1/2}/(\hbar c)^3$ = 1.14962(13) x10^{-5} GeV^{-2}

$\chi^2/\nu = 0.6$

RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^{\,2}(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right] = \frac{K}{2G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate correction terms

G_V constant to ± 0.011%

RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate correction terms

G_V constant to ± 0.011%



RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

G_V constant to ± 0.011%

Validate correction terms ✔

Model	χ^2/N	CL(%)
SM-SW	1.37	17
SM-HF	6.38	0
DFT	4.26	0
RHF-RPA	4.91	0
RH-RPA	3.68	0





RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')\left[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})\right] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate correction terms ✔

Test for Scalar current

G_V constant to $\pm$ 0.011%

RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

G_V constant to ± 0.011%

Validate correction terms ✔

Test for Scalar current

limit, C_S/C_V = 0.0012 (10)



RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

G_V constant to ± 0.011%

Validate correction terms ✔

Test for Scalar current

limit, C_S/C_V = 0.0012 (10)





RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

G_V constant to $\pm$ 0.011%

Validate correction terms ✔

Test for Scalar current

limit, $C_S/C_V = 0.0012\ (10)$

WITH CVC VERIFIED

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

weak eigenstates

mass eigenstates

Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix

Obtain precise value of $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

Determine V_{ud}^2

$V_{ud}^2 = G_V^2/G_\mu^2 = 0.94907 \pm 0.00041$

RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate correction terms ✔

G_V constant to ± 0.011%

Test for Scalar current

limit, $C_S/C_V = 0.0012\ (10)$

WITH CVC VERIFIED

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

weak eigenstates

mass eigenstates

Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix

Obtain precise value of $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

Determine V_{ud}^2

$$V_{ud}^2 = G_V^2/G_\mu^2 = 0.94907 \pm 0.00041$$



RESULTS FROM $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ DECAY

FROM A SINGLE TRANSITION

Experimentally determine $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta'_R)[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)}$$

FROM MANY TRANSITIONS

Test Conservation of the Vector current (CVC)

Validate correction terms ✔

G_V constant to ± 0.011%

Test for Scalar current

limit, $C_S/C_V = 0.0012\ (10)$

WITH CVC VERIFIED

$$\begin{pmatrix} d' \\ s' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

weak eigenstates

mass eigenstates

Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix

Obtain precise value of $G_V^2(1 + \Delta_R)$

Determine V_{ud}^2

$$V_{ud}^2 = G_V^2/G_\mu^2 = 0.94907 \pm 0.00041$$

Test CKM unitarity

$$V_{ud}^2 + V_{us}^2 + V_{ub}^2 = 0.99962 \pm 0.00049$$

T=1/2 SUPERALLOWED BETA DECAY

BASIC WEAK-DECAY EQUATION

$$ft = \frac{K}{G_V^2 \langle \quad \rangle^2 + G_A^2 \langle \quad \rangle^2}$$

f **= statistical rate function: f(Z, Q_{EC})**
t **= partial half-life: f($t_{1/2}$, BR)**
$G_{V,A}$ = coupling constants
< > = Fermi, Gamow-Teller matrix elements



T=1/2 SUPERALLOWED BETA DECAY

BASIC WEAK-DECAY EQUATION

$$ft = \frac{K}{G_V^2 < \quad >^2 + G_A^2 < \quad\quad >^2}$$

f = statistical rate function: f(Z, Q_{EC})

t = partial half-life: f($t_{1/2}$, BR)

$G_{V,A}$ = coupling constants

< > = Fermi, Gamow-Teller matrix elements



INCLUDING RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \ '_R)[1 - (\ _C - \ _{NS})] = \frac{K}{G_V^2\,(1 + \ _R)(1 + \ ^2 < \quad\quad >^2)}$$

$= G_A/G_V$

T=1/2 SUPERALLOWED BETA DECAY

BASIC WEAK-DECAY EQUATION

$$ft = \frac{K}{G_V^2 \langle \quad \rangle^2 + G_A^2 \langle \qquad \rangle^2}$$

f = statistical rate function: $f(Z, Q_{EC})$
t = partial half-life: $f(t_{1/2}, BR)$
$G_{V,A}$ = coupling constants
< > = Fermi, Gamow-Teller matrix elements



INCLUDING RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \ '_R)[1 - (\ _C - \ _{NS})] = \frac{K}{G_V^2\,(1 + \ _R)(1 + \ ^2\langle \qquad \rangle^2)}$$

$= G_A/G_V$

Requires additional experiment:
for example, asymmetry (*A*)

T=1/2 SUPERALLOWED BETA DECAY

BASIC WEAK-DECAY EQUATION

$$\boxed{ft = \frac{K}{G_V^2 < \quad >^2 + G_A^2 < \quad >^2}}$$

f **= statistical rate function: f(Z, Q_{EC})**
t **= partial half-life: f($t_{1/2}$, BR)**
$G_{V,A}$ = coupling constants
< > = Fermi, Gamow-Teller matrix elements



INCLUDING RADIATIVE CORRECTIONS

$$\boxed{\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \ '_R)[1 - (\ _C - \ _{NS})] = \frac{K}{G_V^{\,2}\,(1 + \ _R)(1 + \ ^2< \quad >^2)}}$$

$= G_A/G_V$

NEUTRON DECAY

Requires additional experiment:
for example, asymmetry (*A*)

NEUTRON DECAY DATA 2018

Mean life:

τ **= 879.4 ± 0.9 s**

χ^2/N **= 4.2**



NEUTRON DECAY DATA 2018

Mean life:

$\tau = 879.4 \pm 0.9$ s

$\chi^2/N = 4.2$



NEUTRON DECAY DATA 2018

Mean life:

τ **= 879.4 ± 0.9 s**

χ^2/N **= 4.2**

Beam: 888.1 ± 2.0 s
Bottle: 878.9 ± 0.6 s



NEUTRON DECAY DATA 2018

Mean life:

τ **= 879.4 ± 0.9 s**

χ^2/N **= 4.2**

Beam: 888.1 ± 2.0 s
Bottle: 878.9 ± 0.6 s



β **asymmetry:**

λ **= -1.2735 ± 0.0019**

χ^2/N **= 4.3**



NEUTRON DECAY DATA 2018

Mean life:

τ **= 879.4 ± 0.9 s**

$\chi^2/N = 4.2$

Beam: 888.1 ± 2.0 s
Bottle: 878.9 ± 0.6 s



β asymmetry:

λ **= -1.2735 ± 0.0019**

$\chi^2/N = 4.3$



$$V_{ud} = 0.9755 \pm 0.0013$$

NEUTRON DECAY DATA 2018

Mean life:

$\tau = 879.4 \pm 0.9$ s

$\chi^2/N = 4.2$

Beam: 888.1 ± 2.0 s
Bottle: 878.9 ± 0.6 s



β asymmetry:

$\lambda = -1.2735 \pm 0.0019$

$\chi^2/N = 4.3$



$V_{ud} = 0.9755 \pm 0.0013$

Beam-bottle span
$0.9700 \leq V_{ud} \leq 0.9770$

NEUTRON DECAY DATA 2018

Mean life:

τ **= 879.4 ± 0.9 s**

$\chi^2/N = 4.2$

Beam: 888.1 ± 2.0 s
Bottle: 878.9 ± 0.6 s



β **asymmetry:**

λ **= -1.2735 ± 0.0019**

$\chi^2/N = 4.3$



$V_{ud} = 0.9755 \pm 0.0013$

Beam-bottle span
$0.9700 \leq V_{ud} \leq 0.9770$

nuclear $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$
$V_{ud} = 0.9742 \pm 0.0002$

NUCLEAR T=1/2 MIRROR DECAY DATA 2018

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1+\delta_R')[1-(\delta_C-\delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{G_V^2\,(1+\Delta_R)(1+\lambda^2\langle\sigma\tau\rangle^2)}$$

NUCLEAR T=1/2 MIRROR DECAY DATA 2018

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1+\delta_R')[1-(\delta_C-\delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{G_V^2\,(1+\Delta_R)(1+\lambda^2\langle\sigma\tau\rangle^2)}$$



NUCLEAR T=1/2 MIRROR DECAY DATA 2018

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)(1 + \lambda^2 \langle \sigma\tau \rangle^2)}$$



$V_{ud} = 0.9727 \pm 0.0014$

NUCLEAR T=1/2 MIRROR DECAY DATA 2018

$$\mathcal{F}t = ft\,(1 + \delta_R')[1 - (\delta_C - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{G_V^2\,(1 + \Delta_R)(1 + \lambda^2 \langle\sigma\tau\rangle^2)}$$



$V_{ud} = 0.9727 \pm 0.0014$

nuclear $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$

$V_{ud} = 0.9742 \pm 0.0002$

PION BETA DECAY

Decay process:

$$^{+} \longrightarrow \; ^{0}\, e^{+} \quad _{e}$$

$$0^{-},1 \longrightarrow 0^{-},1$$

PION BETA DECAY

Decay process:

$$\pi^+ \longrightarrow \pi^0\, e^+\, \nu_e$$

$$0^-,1 \longrightarrow 0^-,1$$

Experimental data:

$$\tau = 2.6033 \pm 0.0005 \times 10^{-8}\ \mathrm{s}$$

(PDG 2017)

$$\mathrm{BR} = 1.036 \pm 0.007 \times 10^{-8}$$

Pocanic *et al*,
PRL 93, 181803 (2004)

Result:

$$\boxed{V_{ud} = 0.9749 \pm 0.0026}$$

PION BETA DECAY

Decay process:

$$\pi^+ \longrightarrow \pi^0 \, e^+ \, \nu_e$$

$$0^-,1 \longrightarrow 0^-,1$$

Experimental data:

$$\tau = 2.6033 \pm 0.0005 \times 10^{-8}\,\mathrm{s}$$ (PDG 2017)

$$\mathrm{BR} = 1.036 \pm 0.007 \times 10^{-8}$$

Pocanic *et al*,
PRL 93, 181803 (2004)

Result:

$$\boxed{V_{ud} = 0.9749 \pm 0.0026}$$

nuclear $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$
$V_{ud} = 0.9742 \pm 0.0002$

CURRENT STATUS OF V_{ud} AND CKM UNITARITY



CURRENT STATUS OF V_{ud} AND CKM UNITARITY



$$V_{ud}^2 + V_{us}^2 + V_{ub}^2 = 0.99962 \pm 0.00049$$

V_{ud}^2 nuclear decays
muon decay
0.94906 ± 0.00041

V_{us}^2 PDG
kaon decays
0.05054 ± 0.00027

V_{ub}^2 B decays
0.00002

CURRENT STATUS OF V_{ud} AND CKM UNITARITY



If uncertainty on radiative corrections could be reduced by a factor of 5:

V^2_{ud} uncertainty would drop to 0.00020

and the unitarity-sum uncertainty to 0.00034.

$$V^2_{ud} + V^2_{us} + V^2_{ub} = 0.99962 \pm 0.00049$$

V^2_{ud} nuclear decays, muon decay
0.94906 ± 0.00041

V^2_{us} PDG, kaon decays
0.05054 ± 0.00027

V^2_{ub} B decays
0.00002

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

1. Analysis of superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear β decay confirms CVC to ±0.011% and thus yields V_{ud} = 0.97420(21).
2. The three other experimental methods for determining V_{ud} yield consistent results, but are less precise by a factor of 7 or more.
3. The current value for V_{ud}, when combined with the PDG values for V_{us} and V_{ub}, satisfies CKM unitarity to ±0.05%.

SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

1. Analysis of superallowed $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ nuclear β decay confirms CVC to ±0.011% and thus yields V_{ud} = 0.97420(21).
2. The three other experimental methods for determining V_{ud} yield consistent results, but are less precise by a factor of 7 or more.
3. The current value for V_{ud}, when combined with the PDG values for V_{us} and V_{ub}, satisfies CKM unitarity to ±0.05%.

4. The largest contribution to V_{ud} uncertainty is from the inner radiative correction, Δ_R. Very little reduction in V_{ud} uncertainty is possible without improved calculation of Δ_R.
5. Isospin symmetry-breaking correction, δ_C, has been tested by requiring consistency among the 14 known transitions (CVC), and agreement with mirror-transition pairs. It contributes much less to V_{ud} uncertainty than does Δ_R.
6. With significant improvement in Δ_R uncertainty alone, the V_{ud} uncertainty could be reduced by factor of 2!

Supplementary slides

FINAL REMARK ON V_{us}

Kaon decay yields two independent determinations of V_{us}:

1) Semi-leptonic $K \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu_\ell$ decay ($K_{\ell 3}$) yields $|V_{us}|$.

2) Pure leptonic decays $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ and $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ together yield $|V_{us}| / |V_{ud}|$.

Both require lattice calculations of form factors to obtain their result.

Until March 2014 these gave highly consistent results for $|V_{us}|$.

FINAL REMARK ON V_{us}

Kaon decay yields two independent determinations of V_{us}:

1) Semi-leptonic $K \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu_\ell$ decay ($K_{\ell 3}$) yields $|V_{us}|$.

2) Pure leptonic decays $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ and $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ together yield $|V_{us}| / |V_{ud}|$.

Both require lattice calculations of form factors to obtain their result.
Until March 2014 these gave highly consistent results for $|V_{us}|$.

BUT, Bazavov et al. [PRL 112, 112001 (2014)] produced a new lattice calculation of the form factor used for $K_{\ell 3}$ decays.

Their new result for $|V_{us}|$ is inconsistent with the $|V_{us}|/|V_{ud}|$ result and, when combined with the superallowed result for $|V_{ud}|$, leads to a unitarity sum over two standard deviations below 1.

Stay tuned ...

PRECISION DECAY MEASUREMENTS AT TAMU



PRECISION DECAY MEASUREMENTS AT TAMU



PRECISION DECAY MEASUREMENTS AT TAMU



PRECISION DECAY MEASUREMENTS AT TAMU



HALF LIFE OF ^{38}Ca



HALF LIFE OF ^{38}Ca



$$\Lambda_{tot} = C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + C_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t} \longrightarrow$$

where

$$C_1 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

$$C_2 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_2 \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$



HALF LIFE OF ^{38}Ca





$$\Lambda_{tot} = C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + C_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t} \longrightarrow$$

where

$$C_1 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

$$C_2 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_2 \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$



HALF LIFE OF ^{38}Ca





$$\Lambda_{tot} = C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + C_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t}$$



where

$$C_1 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

$$C_2 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_2 \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

HALF LIFE OF ^{38}Ca





$$\Lambda_{tot} = C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + C_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t}$$



where

$$C_1 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

$$C_2 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_2 \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

HALF LIFE OF ^{38}Ca





$$\Lambda_{tot} = C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + C_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t}$$



where

$$C_1 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

$$C_2 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_2 \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

HALF LIFE OF ^{38}Ca





$$\Lambda_{tot} = C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + C_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t}$$

where

$$C_1 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

$$C_2 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_2 \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$



HALF LIFE OF ^{38}Ca





$$t_{1/2} = 443.77(36)\ \text{ms}$$

$$\Lambda_{tot} = C_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + C_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t}$$

where

$$C_1 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_1 \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$

$$C_2 = N_1 \varepsilon_2 \lambda_2 \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} + \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right)$$



BETA-DECAY BRANCHING OF ^{38}Ca







BETA-DECAY BRANCHING OF ^{38}Ca







BETA-DECAY BRANCHING OF ^{38}Ca





$$\frac{N_{\gamma_1\beta}}{N_\beta} = \frac{N_0\, R_1\, \varepsilon_{\beta_1} \varepsilon_{\gamma_1}}{N_0\, \varepsilon_{\beta_{tot}}}$$



BETA-DECAY BRANCHING OF ^{38}Ca





$$\frac{N_{\gamma_1\beta}}{N_\beta} = \frac{\cancel{N_o}\, R_1 \varepsilon_{\beta_1} \varepsilon_{\gamma_1}}{\cancel{N_o}\, \varepsilon_{\beta_{tot}}}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{N_{\gamma_1\beta}}{N_\beta \varepsilon_{\gamma_1}} \frac{\varepsilon_{\beta_{tot}}}{\varepsilon_{\beta_1}} k$$



BETA-DECAY BRANCHING OF ^{38}Ca





$$\frac{N_{\gamma_1\beta}}{N_\beta} = \frac{\cancel{N_o}\, R_1\, \varepsilon_{\beta_1}\varepsilon_{\gamma_1}}{\cancel{N_o}\, \varepsilon_{\beta_{tot}}}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{N_{\gamma_1\beta}}{N_\beta\, \varepsilon_{\gamma_1}}\, \frac{\varepsilon_{\beta_{tot}}}{\varepsilon_{\beta_1}}\, k$$

$k = f$(dead-time, pile-up, coincidence summing) = 1.0391(23)



BETA-DECAY BRANCHING OF ^{38}Ca





$$R_{0^+} = 77.28(16)\%$$



$$\frac{N_{\gamma_1\beta}}{N_\beta} = \frac{\cancel{N_0}\, R_1\, \varepsilon_{\beta_1}\varepsilon_{\gamma_1}}{\cancel{N_0}\, \varepsilon_{\beta_{tot}}}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{N_{\gamma_1\beta}}{N_\beta\, \varepsilon_{\gamma_1}}\, \frac{\varepsilon_{\beta_{tot}}}{\varepsilon_{\beta_1}}\, k$$

$k = f$(dead-time, pile-up, coincidence summing) = 1.0391(23)