## Photon Production in a Hadronic Transport Approach

#### Anna Schäfer

In collaboration with Juan M. Torres-Rincon, Jonas Rothermel, Niklas Ehlert, Charles Gale and Hannah Petersen











Stiftung Polytechnische Gesellschaft Frankfurt am Main

56<sup>th</sup> International Winter Meeting on Nuclear Physics

January 23, 2018

## Why Photons?

#### Direct Probes

- -> Only Electromagnetic Interaction
- -> Mean free path >> size of system
- -> Emitted in all stages of HIC => Time-integrated picture
- Direct Photon Flow Puzzle
  - -> Observed at RHIC/LHC measurements
  - -> Unexpectedly large γ-momentum anisotropies
- Importance of photons from nonequilibrium hadronic phase?



#### Paquet et al. Phys. Rev. C 93, 044906

## **Direct Photons**



## **Direct Photons**



## SMASH - A New Hadronic Transport Approach



Staudenmaier et al.: arXiv 1711.10297 (2017) Oliinychenko et al: J. Phys. G: 44 034001 (2017)

Rose et al.: arXiv 1709.03826 (2017) Tindall et al.: Phys. Lett. B770 (2017)

- Photon production in hadronic elastic and inelastic scattering processes
- Perturbative Treatment
  - EM-coupling is small compared to strong coupling
  - Assumption: photons do not interact with medium after production
  - => Photons are not actually produced, they are directly printed to the output

- Photon production in hadronic elastic and inelastic scattering processes
- Perturbative Treatment
  - EM-coupling is small compared to strong coupling
  - Assumption: photons do not interact with medium after production
  - => Photons are not actually produced, they are directly printed to the output

#### Set I

- Cross sections from Kapusta et al. Kapusta et al. : Nucl.Phys. A544 (1992)
- Limited number of photon production channels, degrees of freedom: π, ρ, η, γ

- Photon production in hadronic elastic and inelastic scattering processes
- Perturbative Treatment
  - EM-coupling is small compared to strong coupling
  - Assumption: photons do not interact with medium after production
  - => Photons are not actually produced, they are directly printed to the output

#### Set I

- Cross sections from Kapusta et al. Kapusta et al. : Nucl.Phys. A544 (1992)
- Limited number of photon production channels, degrees of freedom: π, ρ, η, γ

#### Set II

- Cross sections based on Turbide et al. framework
   Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)
- More evolved effective field theory

- Photon production in hadronic elastic and inelastic scattering processes
- Perturbative Treatment
  - EM-coupling is small compared to strong coupling
  - Assumption: photons do not interact with medium after production
  - => Photons are not actually produced, they are directly printed to the output

#### Set I

- Cross sections from Kapusta et al. Kapusta et al. : Nucl.Phys. A544 (1992)
- Limited number of photon production channels, degrees of freedom: π, ρ, η, γ

#### Set II

- Cross sections based on Turbide et al. framework
- Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)
- More evolved effective field theory

From now on: this framework

## Turbide et al. Framework

•Chiral effective field theory with mesonic degrees of freedom:

- Pseudoscalar mesons
- Vector mesons
- Axial vector mesons
- Photon

Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)

## Turbide et al. Framework

• Chiral effective field theory with mesonic degrees of freedom:

- Pseudoscalar mesons
- Vector mesons
- Axial vector mesons
- Photon

Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)

• More complex than Kapusta et al. theory

- Cross Sections not yet published or determined
  - => Proper calculation necessary

## Turbide et al. Framework

• Chiral effective field theory with mesonic degrees of freedom:

- Pseudoscalar mesons
- Vector mesons
- Axial vector mesons
- Photon

Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)

- More complex than Kapusta et al. theory
- Cross Sections not yet published or determined
  - => Proper calculation necessary
- More realistic description than Kapusta et al. theory
- Used in state-of-the-art hydro code (MUSIC)
   => Hybrid model made up of SMASH and MUSIC?
- Further extensions possible, e.g. Heffernan, Hohler, Rapp: Phys. Rev. C 91 (2015) Holt, Hohler, Rapp: Nuc. Phys. A 945 (2016)

## Photon Production Channels

Exchange via  $(\pi, \rho, a_1)$ 

Exchange via (ω)

Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)

Anna Schäfer

## Photon Production Channels



#### Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)

## Photon Production Channels



Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)

## **Thermal Photon Rate**

Thermal photon rate: number of photons produced per unit time and volume -> Allows for comparison to theoretical expectation

SMASH setup: Infinite matter simulation

-> Hadronic matter in thermal and chemical equilibrium at T = 200 MeV

## **Thermal Photon Rate**



Thermal photon rate: number of photons produced per unit time and volume -> Allows for comparison to theoretical expectation

#### SMASH setup: Infinite matter simulation

-> Hadronic matter in thermal and chemical equilibrium at T = 200 MeV

## Form Factors

#### Form Factor:

- Necessary to account for finite extend of the fields at vertices
- Hadronic dipole form factor (global and energy-dependent)
- Different for (π,ρ,a<sub>1</sub>) and (ω) mediated processes
- Reduction of photon rate (except for ω channels after correcting coupling constant)



## Form Factors

#### Form Factor:

- Necessary to account for finite extend of the fields at vertices
- Hadronic dipole form factor (global and energy-dependent)
- Different for (π,ρ,a<sub>1</sub>) and (ω) mediated processes
- Reduction of photon rate (except for ω channels after correcting coupling constant)

#### Note:

 $\pi\text{-}\rho\text{-}\omega\text{-}coupling$  increases when applying form factors



## Photon Rates from SMASH

Contribution of individual  $\pi + \pi \rightarrow \rho + \gamma$  and  $\pi + \rho \rightarrow \pi + \gamma$  channels



#### Form Factors included!

## Photon Rates from SMASH



#### Form Factors included!

## **Comparison to Parametrized Rates**



## **Comparison to Parametrized Rates**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Comparison to Parametrized Rates**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Quantifying the Difference to Kapusta et al. Framework

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Kapusta parametrizations taken from: H. Nadeau et al.: Phys. Rev. C45 (1992)
 -> modified with corresponding form factor to allow for comparison

## Quantifying the Difference to Kapusta et al. Framework

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Kapusta parametrizations taken from: H. Nadeau et al.: Phys. Rev. C45 (1992)

-> modified with corresponding form factor to allow for comparison

## Quantifying the Difference to Kapusta et al. Framework

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

Kapusta parametrizations taken from: H. Nadeau et al.: Phys. Rev. C45 (1992)

-> modified with corresponding form factor to allow for comparison

## Summary & Outlook

#### What has been done?

- Proper understanding of photon production in hadronic phase is important
- Hadronic photon production implemented and applied in SMASH
  - -> Results in good agreement with Turbide et al.
  - -> (w) mediated processes contribute significantly
  - -> Justification for application of more complex effective field theory

#### **Future Plans**

- Extension by more production channels (e.g. involving strange mesons)
- Inclusion of 1 -> 3 production processes
- Apply SMASH within hybrid approach (MUSIC) based on the same underlying effective field theory

# Backup

Anna Schäfer

## Turbide et al. Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{8} F_{\pi}^{2} Tr \left( D_{\mu} U D^{\mu} U^{\dagger} \right) + \frac{1}{8} F_{\pi}^{2} Tr \left( M \left( U + U^{\dagger} - 2 \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} Tr \left( F_{\mu\nu}^{L} F^{L\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu}^{R} F^{R\mu\nu} \right)$$
kinetic term & interaction PS mass term PS kinetic term & interaction of V and AV
$$+ m_{0}^{2} Tr \left( A_{\mu}^{L} A^{L\mu} + A_{\mu}^{R} A^{R\mu} \right) + \gamma Tr \left( F_{\mu\nu}^{L} U F^{R\mu\nu} U^{\dagger} \right) - i\xi Tr \left( D_{\mu} U D_{\nu} U^{\dagger} F^{L\mu\nu} + D_{\mu} U^{\dagger} D_{\nu} U F^{R\mu\nu} \right)$$
mass term V and AV higher order interaction of PS, V and AV
$$- \frac{2em_{0}^{2}}{g_{0}} B^{\mu} Tr \left( Q \left( A_{\mu}^{L} + A_{\mu}^{R} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left( \partial_{\mu} B^{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} B^{\mu} \right)^{2} + \frac{2e^{2}m_{0}^{2}}{g_{0}^{2}} B_{\mu} B^{\mu} Tr \left( Q^{2} \right)$$
electromagnetic interaction of PS, V, AV and  $\gamma$ 

$$+ g_{VV\psi} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Tr \left[ \partial^{\mu} V^{\nu} \partial^{\alpha} V^{\beta} \psi \right]$$
Wess-Zumino Term for V-V-PS-vertex

#### Turbide et al.: Int.J.Mod.Phys. A19 (2004)

## Thermal Photon Rate

Analytic formula:

$$E\frac{dR}{d^3p} = N \int (2\pi)^4 \, \delta^4(p_A + p_B - p_C - p) \, |\mathcal{M}|^2 \, f(E_A) \, f(E_B) \, (1 + \varepsilon f(E_C))$$
$$\times \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^3} \, \frac{d^3p_A}{2E_A(2\pi)^3} \, \frac{d^3p_B}{2E_B(2\pi)^3} \, \frac{d^3p_C}{2E_C(2\pi)^3}$$

In the case of Boltzmann statistics:

$$E\frac{dR}{d^3p} = N \int \delta^4(p_A + p_B - p_C - p) |\mathcal{M}|^2 f(E_A) f(E_B) f(E_C) \frac{d^3p_A d^3p_B d^3p_C}{16(2\pi)^8}$$

Photon rate from SMASH:

$$E \ \frac{dR}{d^3p} = E \frac{dN}{4\pi \ p^2 dp \ \Delta t \ V} = \frac{1}{4\pi \ E \ \Delta t \ V} \ \frac{dN}{dE}$$

## Form Factor

• Fourier Transform of charge distribution inside hadrons:

$$\hat{F}(q) = \int e^{-iqx} \rho(x) \ d^3x$$

 Parametrization as Hadronic Dipole Form Factor:

$$\hat{F}(E_{\gamma}) = \frac{2\Lambda^2}{2\Lambda^2 - \bar{t}(E_{\gamma})}$$

• Application in SMASH:

 $\sigma_{\gamma} \rightarrow \hat{F}^4(E_{\gamma}) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma}$ 

Combination of channels:

$$\sigma_{\gamma} = \hat{F}^{4}_{(\pi\rho a_{1})} \sigma_{(\pi\rho a_{1})} + \hat{F}^{4}_{(\omega)} \sigma_{(\omega)}$$

![](_page_31_Figure_9.jpeg)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \Gamma_{\omega \to \pi \gamma} \propto |\mathcal{M}|^2 \ \hat{F}^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \text{From experiment: 0.7174 MeV} \end{array} \end{array}$$