

Angelo Ricciardone

University of Stavanger

Norway

17th October 2017 MAINZ

Observational windows of inflation



	$k \left[\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1} \right]$	$N_{\text{estim.}}$	5	$N \equiv \int H dt$
CMB / LSS	$10^{-4} - 10^{-1}$	56 - 63		J_{t_i}
$y - \& \mu - \text{distortions}$	$10^{-1} - 10^4$	45 - 56		e-folding number
$P_{\zeta} \rightarrow \text{PBH} \rightarrow \text{GW} @ \text{PTA}$	$10^4 - 10^5$	41 - 44		
$P_{\zeta} \rightarrow \text{PBH} \rightarrow \text{GW} @ \text{LISA}$	$10^5 - 10^7$	38 - 41		
$P_{\zeta} \rightarrow \text{PBH} \rightarrow \text{GW} @ \text{AdvLIGO}$	$10^7 - 10^8$	35 - 37		
$P_{\delta g} \to \mathrm{GW} @ \mathrm{PTA}$	$10^6 - 10^8$	36 - 40		
$P_{\delta g} \to \mathrm{GW} @ \mathrm{LISA}$	$10^{11} - 10^{14}$	22 - 28		
$P_{\delta g} \to \mathrm{GW} @ \mathrm{AdvLIGO}$	$10^{16} - 10^{17}$	15 - 17		

[J. Garcia-Bellido, M. Peloso, C. Unal '16]

LISA=>Possibility to test regions for which we have poor information



















$$r = \frac{A_T(k_*)}{A_S(k_*)}$$

Test for <u>single-field</u> consistency relation

Potentially interesting scenarios

Inflationary GWs generated by the amplification of the vacuum fluctuations have an amplitude OUT of LIGO and LISA range

- Presence of extra degrees of freedom during inflation

- New patterns of symmetry during inflation

- Merging of Primordial BHs after inflation

MODEL INDEPENDENT PARAMETRIZATION

It allows to study a model within a given observational window (frequency band of LISA) agnostic about the potential at field values that not impact these scales



GLOBAL PARAMETRIZATION

Specify the potential and combine all the scales in the observable 60 efolds of inflation

A simple (global) parametrization of the scalar potential:



Binetruy, Domcke, Pieroni '16

STAROBINSKY-TYPE POTENTIAL



[Bartolo N. et al '16]



non-Gaussianity, mu-distorsion (+LIGO)

complementarity between CMB and direct GW observations

CHAOTIC POTENTIAL

3 parameters $lpha/\Lambda,\,eta,\,p$

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \phi^{\gamma} \to p = 1 \text{ vary } \beta = \gamma/4 \text{ and } \alpha$$





Spectral tilt as a model discriminator



Deserves analysis about PBHs and non-G

Effective theory for (massive) tensor during inflation



c_T -> tensor sound speed

$$S_h = \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{4} \int d\eta \, d^3 \, x \, a^2(\eta) \, \left\{ \left(h_{ij}' \right)^2 - c_T^2 \left(\partial_l h_{ij} \right)^2 - m^2 \, h_{ij}^2 \right\} \, .$$

[Bartolo et al '16]

Effective theory for (massive) tensor during inflation



GWs from "post"-inflationary processes



GWs from (p)reheating through parametric effects

GWs from (p)reheating through spinodal instabilities

Large Amplikude but High frequency $\Omega_{GW}^{(o)} \sim 10^{-11} f_o \sim 10^8 - 10^9 \, \mathrm{Hz}$

GWs from (p)reheating through parametric effects

GWs from (p)reheating through spinodal instabilities

Large Amplikude but High frequency $\Omega_{GW}^{(o)} \sim 10^{-11} f_o \sim 10^8 - 10^9 \, \mathrm{Hz}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{2nd order GWs} & \underline{h_{ij}''+2\mathcal{H}h_{ij}'+k^2h_{ij}}=S_{ij}^{TT} & \underline{S_{ij}^{TT}}\sim\Phi\ast\Phi\\ \text{IF} & \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2\gg\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2|_{CMB}\sim3\times10^{-9} & \text{@ small scales} \end{array}$

GWs from (p)reheating through parametric effects

GWs from (p)reheating through spinodal instabilities

Large Amplikude but High frequency $\Omega_{GW}^{(o)} \sim 10^{-11} f_o \sim 10^8 - 10^9 \, \mathrm{Hz}$

2nd order GWs $h_{ij}'' + 2\mathcal{H}h_{ij}' + k^2h_{ij} = S_{ij}^{TT}$ $S_{ij}^{TT} \sim \Phi * \Phi$ $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 \gg \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2|_{CMB} \sim 3 \times 10^{-9}$ @ small scales IF **BBN** $\Omega_{gw,0} < 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \longrightarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 < 0.1 \left(\frac{F_{rad}}{30}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ **LIGO** $\Omega_{gw,0} < 6.9 \times 10^{-6} \longrightarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 < 0.07 \left(\frac{F_{rad}}{30}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ **PTA** $\Omega_{gw,0} < 4 \times 10^{-8} \longrightarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 < 5 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{F_{rad}}{30}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ **LISA** $\Omega_{gw,0} < 10^{-13}$ \longrightarrow $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 < 1 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{F_{rad}}{30}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ **BBO** $\Omega_{gw,0} < 10^{-17}$ \longrightarrow $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 < 3 \times 10^{-7} \left(\frac{F_{rad}}{30}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

D. Wands et al, 2006-2010

$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{GWs `Beyond'' inflation} \\ \textbf{GWs from (p)reheating through parametric effects} \\ \textbf{GWs from (p)reheating through spinodal instabilities} \\ \textbf{GWs from (p)reheating through spinodal instabilities \\ \textbf{GWs from (p)reheating through spinodal instabilities} \\ \textbf{GWs from (p)reheating through spinodal instabilities \\ \textbf{GWs from (p)reheating through spinodal instabilitie$$

D. Wands et al, 2006-2010

S







Possible future directions

non-Gaussianity

(scalar sector)

$$\begin{split} &\langle \zeta(\mathbf{k}_1)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_2)\zeta(\mathbf{k}_3)\rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)B_{\zeta}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \\ &\frac{\Delta T}{T} = -\frac{\Phi}{3} = -\frac{1}{5}\zeta \quad \text{on S-H scales} \end{split}$$

Different inflationary models predict different <u>amplitudes</u> and <u>shapes</u> of the bispectrum

CMB Bispectrum Shapes



- Galileon inflation

CMB Bispectrum Shapes

Local

 $10.9 x_2 \\ 0.8 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 0$

0.6

0.5

4

Dianal 2015 maguita VVII

STRONG constraints on scalar non-Gaussianity

Planck 2015				
	$f_{\rm NL}(\rm KSW)$			
Shape and method	Independent	ISW-lensing subtracted		
SMICA (T) Local Equilateral Orthogonal	9.5 ± 5.6 -10 ± 69 -43 ± 33	$\begin{array}{rrr} 1.8 \pm & 5.6 \\ -9.2 \pm 69 \\ -20 & \pm 33 \end{array}$		
SMICA (T+E) Local Equilateral Orthogonal	6.5 ± 5.1 -8.9 ± 44 -35 ± 22	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 0.71 \pm & 5.1 \\ -9.5 \pm 44 \\ -25 & \pm 22 \end{array}$		

Planck 2015 results. XVII

Tensor non-Gaussianity?

 $\langle h^{s_1}(\mathbf{k}_1)h^{s_2}(\mathbf{k}_2)h^{s_3}(\mathbf{k}_3)\rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)B_h^{s_1s_2s_3}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)$

s= polarization

$$B_h(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = f_{NL}^T F(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)$$

Tensor non-Gaussianity?

$$\langle h^{s_1}(\mathbf{k}_1)h^{s_2}(\mathbf{k}_2)h^{s_3}(\mathbf{k}_3)\rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)B_h^{s_1s_2s_3}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)$$

s= polarization

$$B_h(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = f_{NL}^T F(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)$$

CMB constraints only about EQUILATERAL CONFIGURATION

$$f_{\rm NL}^{tens} = \frac{5}{18} \frac{B_h^{++\pm}(k, \, k, \, k)}{P_{\zeta}^2(k)}$$

 $10^{-2} \times f_{\rm NL}^{tens}({\rm parity even}) = 4 \pm 16$

 $10^{-2} \times f_{\rm NL}^{tens}({\rm parity odd}) = 80 \pm 110$

[Shiraishi et al '15]

Tensor non-Gaussianity?

$$\langle h^{s_1}(\mathbf{k}_1)h^{s_2}(\mathbf{k}_2)h^{s_3}(\mathbf{k}_3)\rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)B_h^{s_1s_2s_3}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)$$

s= polarization

$$B_h(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = f_{NL}^T F(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)$$

CMB constraints only about EQUILATERAL CONFIGURATION

$$f_{\rm NL}^{tens} = \frac{5}{18} \frac{B_h^{++\pm}(k, \, k, \, k)}{P_{\zeta}^2(k)}$$

 $10^{-2} \times f_{\rm NL}^{tens}({\tt parity even}) = 4 \pm 16$

 $10^{-2} \times f_{\rm NL}^{tens}({\rm parity odd}) = 80 \pm 110$

[Shiraishi et al '15]

what about tensor nG @ LISA scales?

"Equilateral shape":

typical of particle production models

 k_3 k_1 k_2

NO IDEA

 $k_1 \sim k_2 \sim k_3 = k$

"Equilateral shape":

 k_1 k_3 k_2

NO IDEA

typical of particle production models

 $k_1 \sim k_2 \sim k_3 = k$

 k_1 "Squeezed shape": k_3 k_2 $k_1 \sim k_2 \gg k_3$

$$\langle h_{\vec{q}}^{s_1} h_{\vec{k}}^{s_2} h_{-\vec{k}}^{s_3} \rangle_{q \to 0}' = \delta^{s_2 s_3} \mathcal{P}_h(q) \mathcal{P}_h(k) \left(\frac{3}{2} + f_{\rm NL}^T\right) \epsilon_{ij}^{(s_1)}(\vec{q}) \frac{k^i k^j}{k^2}$$

"Equilateral shape":

 k_1 k_3 k_2

NO IDEA

typical of particle production models

 $k_1 \sim k_2 \sim k_3 = k$

"Squeezed shape": k_3 k_2 $k_1 \sim k_2 \gg k_3$

$$\langle h_{\vec{q}}^{s_1} h_{\vec{k}}^{s_2} h_{-\vec{k}}^{s_3} \rangle_{q \to 0}' = \delta^{s_2 s_3} \mathcal{P}_h(q) \mathcal{P}_h(k) \left(\frac{3}{2} + f_{\rm NL}^T\right) \epsilon_{ij}^{(s_1)}(\vec{q}) \frac{k^i k^j}{k^2}$$

Then the GWs Power Spectrum...

"Equilateral shape":

 k_1 k_3 k_2

NO IDEA

typical of particle production models

 $k_1 \sim k_2 \sim k_3 = k$

see Tasinato's Tall

"Squeezed shape": k_3 $k_1 \sim k_2 \gg k_3$

$$\langle h_{\vec{q}}^{s_1} h_{\vec{k}}^{s_2} h_{-\vec{k}}^{s_3} \rangle_{q \to 0}' = \delta^{s_2 s_3} \mathcal{P}_h(q) \mathcal{P}_h(k) \left(\frac{3}{2} + f_{\rm NL}^T\right) \epsilon_{ij}^{(s_1)}(\vec{q}) \frac{k^i k^j}{k^2}$$

Then the GWs Power Spectrum...



For a GW in N = z direction

$$h_{xx}(t,z) = -h_{yy}(t,z) = \operatorname{Re}[B_{+}e^{-iw(t-z)}]$$
$$h_{xy}(t,z) = h_{yx}(t,z) = \operatorname{Re}[B_{\times}e^{-iw(t-z)}]$$

In circular polarization basis

$$I = |B_R|^2 + |B_L|^2$$
$$V = -|B_R|^2 + |B_L|^2$$





For a GW in N = z direction

$$h_{xx}(t,z) = -h_{yy}(t,z) = \operatorname{Re}[B_{+}e^{-iw(t-z)}]$$
$$h_{xy}(t,z) = h_{yx}(t,z) = \operatorname{Re}[B_{\times}e^{-iw(t-z)}]$$

In circular polarization basis

[Smith & Caldwell '16]

[B. Thorne et al '17]

12.00 1.75

1.50

0.75 0.50 0.25 ł 0.00

X2

1.25 1.00 N



For a GW in M = z direction

$$h_{xx}(t,z) = -h_{yy}(t,z) = \operatorname{Re}[B_{+}e^{-iw(t-z)}]$$
$$h_{xy}(t,z) = h_{yx}(t,z) = \operatorname{Re}[B_{\times}e^{-iw(t-z)}]$$

In circular polarization basis

$$\begin{split} I &= |B_R|^2 + |B_L|^2 \\ V &= -|B_R|^2 + |B_L|^2 \\ h_{tj}(t,\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int d^2 \hat{\Omega} \ h_P(f, \hat{\Omega}) \exp(2\pi i f(t - \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \hat{\Omega}}{c})) e_{tj}^P(\hat{\Omega}), \\ \begin{pmatrix} \langle h_+(f, \hat{\Omega}) h_+^*(f', \hat{\Omega}') \rangle & \langle h_+(f, \hat{\Omega}) h_+^*(f', \hat{\Omega}') \rangle \\ \langle h_\times(f, \hat{\Omega}) h_+^*(f', \hat{\Omega}') \rangle & \langle h_\times(f, \hat{\Omega}) h_\times^*(f', \hat{\Omega}') \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \delta(f - f') \frac{\delta^{(2)}(\hat{\Omega} - \hat{\Omega}')}{4\pi} \left(\frac{I(f)}{-iV(f)} \frac{iV(f)}{I(f)} \right), \\ s^{\alpha}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta \phi_{12}(t - 2L) + \Delta \phi_{21}(t - L) - \Delta \phi_{13}(t - 2L) - \Delta \phi_{31}(t - L) \right) + n^{\alpha}(t), \\ s^{\beta}(t) &= s^{\alpha}(t) + 2s^{\gamma}(t). \end{split}$$





 $\langle \Delta \phi_{ij}(f_1) \Delta \phi_{kl}(f_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df' \delta_T(f_1 - f') \delta_T(f_2 - f') S_h^{P_1P_2}(f') \mathcal{R}_{P_1P_2}^{ijkl}(f') - \mathcal{R}_{P_1P_2}^{ijkl}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 \hat{\Omega} \exp\left(-2\pi i f \hat{\Omega} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k)\right) D^{ab}(\hat{u}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\Omega}, f) D^{cd}(\hat{u}_{kl} \cdot \hat{\Omega}, f) e_{ab}^{P_1}(\hat{\Omega}_1) e_{cd}^{P_2}(f') - \mathcal{R}_{P_1P_2}^{ijkl}(f') - \mathcal{R}_{P_1P_2}^{ijkl}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 \hat{\Omega} \exp\left(-2\pi i f \hat{\Omega} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k)\right) D^{ab}(\hat{u}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\Omega}, f) D^{cd}(\hat{u}_{kl} \cdot \hat{\Omega}, f) e_{ab}^{P_1}(\hat{\Omega}_1) e_{cd}^{P_2}(f') - \mathcal{R}_{P_1P_2}^{ijkl}(f') - \mathcal{R}_{P_1P_2}^{$



$$\langle \Delta \phi_{ij}(f_1) \Delta \phi_{kl}(f_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df' \delta_T (f_1 - f') \delta_T (f_2 - f') S_h^{P_1 P_2}(f') \mathcal{R}_{P_1 P_2}^{ijkl}(f') - \mathcal{R}_{P_1 P_2}^{ijkl}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 \hat{\Omega} \exp\left(-2\pi i f \hat{\Omega} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k)\right) D^{ab}(\hat{u}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\Omega}, f) D^{cd}(\hat{u}_{kl} \cdot \hat{\Omega}, f) e_{ab}^{P_1}(\hat{\Omega}_1) e_{ab}^{P_2}(f') - \mathcal{R}_{Ab}^{ijkl}(f') - \mathcal{R}$$

 $\mathcal{R}_{I}^{X_{1}X_{2}}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^{2}\hat{\Omega} \left[F_{X_{1}}^{+}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{+*}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) + F_{X_{1}}^{\times}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{\times*}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) \right] \qquad \mathcal{R}_{V}^{X_{1}X_{2}}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^{2}\hat{\Omega} \left[F_{X_{1}}^{+}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{\times*}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) - F_{X_{1}}^{\times}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{**}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) \right] = \mathcal{R}_{V}^{X_{1}X_{2}}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^{2}\hat{\Omega} \left[F_{X_{1}}^{+}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{\times*}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) - F_{X_{1}}^{\times}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{**}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) \right]$



$$\langle s^{X_1}(f)s^{X_2}(f')\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\left[\mathcal{R}_I^{X_1X_2}(f)I(f) + \mathcal{R}_V^{X_1X_2}(f)V(f)\right],$$

 $\mathcal{R}_{I}^{X_{1}X_{2}}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^{2}\hat{\Omega} \left[F_{X_{1}}^{+}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{+*}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) + F_{X_{1}}^{\times}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{\times*}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) \right] \qquad \mathcal{R}_{V}^{X_{1}X_{2}}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^{2}\hat{\Omega} \left[F_{X_{1}}^{+}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{\times*}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) - F_{X_{1}}^{\times}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) F_{X_{2}}^{**}(f,\hat{u}\cdot\hat{\Omega}) \right] \\ \mathcal{R}_{V}^{X_{1}X_{2}}(f) = 0$

-2.00

1.75

1.50

-1.00

0.75

0.50

0.25

1.25 >

<u>ه</u>ک



Chiral GWs

$$h_{xx}(t,z) = -h_{yy}(t,z) = \operatorname{Re}[B_{+}e^{-iw(t-z)}]$$
$$h_{xy}(t,z) = h_{yx}(t,z) = \operatorname{Re}[B_{\times}e^{-iw(t-z)}]$$

In circular polarization basis

$$I = |B_R|^2 + |B_L|^2$$
$$V = -|B_R|^2 + |B_L|^2$$



$$\begin{split} h_{ij}(t,\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int d^{2}\hat{\Omega} \ h_{P}(f,\hat{\Omega}) \exp(2\pi i f(t-\frac{\mathbf{x}\cdot\hat{\Omega}}{c})) e_{ij}^{P}(\hat{\Omega}), \\ & \left(\begin{pmatrix} \langle h_{+}(f,\hat{\Omega})h_{+}^{*}(f',\hat{\Omega}') \rangle & \langle h_{+}(f,\hat{\Omega})h_{\times}^{*}(f',\hat{\Omega}') \rangle \\ \langle h_{\times}(f,\hat{\Omega})h_{+}^{*}(f',\hat{\Omega}') \rangle & \langle h_{\times}(f,\hat{\Omega})h_{\times}^{*}(f',\hat{\Omega}') \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \delta(f-f') \frac{\delta^{(2)}(\hat{\Omega}-\hat{\Omega}')}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} I(f) & iV(f) \\ -iV(f) & I(f) \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{V}^{X_{1}X_{2}}(f) \neq 0$$



 \star inflection in the potential

Conditions to generate

- ★ multi-fields
- ★ bubble collisions (?)
- ★ . . .

* inflection in the potential

Conditions to generate

★ multi-fields

★ . . .

★ bubble collisions (?)

- Distinguish PHB from astrophys.
- ★ eccentricity
 ★ spin
 ★ mass function
 ★ spatial
 ↓ distribution?
 ★ ...

How are they related to inflation?

see Garcia-Bellido and Peloso's Talks The detection or NOT of primordial GWs with LISA, constrains inflationary cosmological parameters complementary to CMB

- Next steps?

Forecast the ability of LISA to constrain "inflationary-related" scenarios

Ability of LISA to constrain other "cosmological" observables (n-G, extra polarizations ...)

LISA abilities for PBHs phenomenology