Broken spatial isometries during inflation: $n_T > 0$ and consequences for interferometers

Gianmassimo Tasinato

Supersolid inflation

$$\underline{t \to t + \xi(t, x)}, \qquad \underline{x^i \to x^i + \xi(t, x)}$$

Model 1 [Extension of Solid inflation [Endlich, Nicolis, Wang]]
Einstein gravity + set of scalar fields (also vectors can work)

► A quartet of scalar fields, $\phi(t)$, $\sigma^{I}(x^{j})$ spontaneously breaking space-time diffeos.

$$\phi(t)$$
 , $\sigma^I = \alpha x^I$

• Interactions: most general self-interactions for ϕ , derivative interactions for σ^{I} preserving internal symmetries:

$$B^{IJ} = \partial_{\mu}\sigma^{I}\partial^{\mu}\sigma^{J} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{tr} B, \ \operatorname{tr} B^{2}, \ \operatorname{tr} B^{3}$$

$$f(\phi) \, G^{\mu\nu} \, \partial_{\mu} \sigma^{I} \, \partial_{\nu} \, \sigma_{I}$$

Supersolid inflation

$$t \to t + \xi(t, x)$$
, $x^i \to x^i + \xi(t, x)$

Model 2

Bigravity + single scalar field

$$S = \int d^4x \left[M_P^2 \sqrt{-g} R[g] + \sqrt{-g} P_g(X,\varphi) + 2\sqrt{-g} m^2 M^2 V + M_f^2 \sqrt{-f} R[f] \right]$$

$$V = \sum_{n=0}^{4} \beta_n \, \mathcal{E}_n(\sqrt{g^{-1}f})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{0}(\mathbb{X}) &= 1, \quad \mathcal{E}_{1}(\mathbb{X}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{X}) \equiv [\mathbb{X}], \quad \mathcal{E}_{2}(\mathbb{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \left([\mathbb{X}]^{2} - [\mathbb{X}^{2}] \right), \\ \mathcal{E}_{3}(\mathbb{X}) &= \frac{1}{3!} \left([\mathbb{X}]^{3} - 3[\mathbb{X}^{2}][\mathbb{X}] + 2[\mathbb{X}^{3}] \right), \\ \mathcal{E}_{4}(\mathbb{X}) &= \frac{1}{4!} \left([\mathbb{X}]^{4} - 6[\mathbb{X}^{2}][\mathbb{X}]^{2} + 8[\mathbb{X}^{3}][\mathbb{X}] + 3[\mathbb{X}^{2}]^{2} - 6[\mathbb{X}^{4}] \right). \end{aligned}$$



Schematic difference among two possibilities

Evolution eq for linear fluctuations



Schematic difference among two possibilities

Evolution eq for linear fluctuations



Schematic difference among two possibilities

<u>Tensor non-Gaussianity</u> $\langle h_{i_1 i_1}^{(s_1)} h_{i_2 i_2}^{(s_2)} h_{i_3 i_3}^{(s_3)} \rangle$



tensor 3pt function

What do we know today?

Little, but non-zero:

Planck and WMAP constrain the following quantity in equilateral configuration (dedicated template for axion models: [Shiraishi et al])

$$f_{\rm NL}^{tens} = \frac{5}{18} \, \frac{B_h^{++\pm}(k, \, k, \, k)}{P_{\zeta}^2(k)}$$

 $10^{-2} \times f_{\rm NL}^{tens}(\text{parity even}) = 4 \pm 16 \\ 10^{-2} \times f_{\rm NL}^{tens}(\text{parity odd}) = 80 \pm 110$

from bounds on T and E bispectra

Very specific of equilateral-like shape

[Komatsu et al]

Large tensor nonG in the squeezed limit

► Primordial tensor modes are **not** adiabatic. [Bordin et al]

Supersolid inflation:

evade Maldacena consistency relation for squeezed tensor bispectrum

$$\langle h_{k_1}^{s_1} h_{k_2}^{s_2} h_{k_3}^{s_3} \rangle_{k_1 \ll k_{2,3}}' = \delta^{s_2 s_3} \left(\frac{3}{2} + f_{NL}^T \right) P_h(k_1) P_h(k_2) \epsilon_{ij}^{s_1} \frac{k_2^i k_2^j}{k_2^2}$$







Large tensor nonG in the squeezed limit

► Primordial tensor modes are **not adiabatic**.

Enhanced

Squeezed limit of tensor 3pt function



Same shappe shappe shappe shappe shappe is think to be a single of the same shappe shappe in the second se Same shape as GR, but different overall coeff New shape, characteristic of breaking of space diffeos Newashape, characteris Sodabreaking of space differs agravitational interactions during inflation $\pi^2 M_{Pl}^2 c_T^3$ nabe $\overline{\mathcal{A}a_{Pl}^{2}}$ 1.0 $O_{1} A_{T_{1}} 0.5$ $A_{T_2}0.5$ 0.0 and the second s $\mathcal{A}_{T_1}^{\#+1}(1,k_2/k_1,k_3/k_1)(k_2/k_1)^2(k_3/k_1)^2 as a function of <math>\pi_{27}\pi_1$ and $\pi_{37}\pi_1$. The contribution to the tensor bispectrum is the tensor bispectru n Fig. 2 the amplitude of this first contribution confirming that it peaks in the limit $k_{\rm m}$ inflation: $f_{\rm NI}^T k_{\rm m}^i k_{\rm m}^j$ Ghewilten general and the share of the state hanced the negative of the side of the sid contribution \mathcal{T}_2 is proportional to the mass of the gravit \mathcal{T}_2 is proportional to the gravit \mathcal{T}_2 is proportional to the gravit \mathcal{T}_2 is prop ot consider ' evious por can be enhanced with respect the theory models. Since our starting theory CCLLETIN THORE AND AND AND VIOLATION leature at the level of the action we do not expect that $\tilde{\boldsymbol{B}})\tilde{h}_{i_2j_2}$ 1676 be detailed and $\frac{2}{13} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1$

Large tensor nonG in the squeezed limit

► Primordial tensor modes are **not** adiabatic.

Enhanced Squeezed limit of tensor 3pt function



► Physical consequence: anisotropic amplitude of tensor power spectrum

[Shandera et al, Giddings and Sloth, Nurmi, Byrnes, GT]

$$\mathcal{P}_h(k) = \mathcal{P}_h^{(0)}(k) \left(1 + \mathcal{Q}_{ij} \frac{k^i k^j}{k^2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(\vec{x}) = \frac{f_{NL}^T}{V_L} \int_{|\vec{q}| < q_L} d^3 q \, e^{i\vec{q}\vec{x}} \sum_s e_{ij}^{(s)}(\vec{q}) \gamma_q^{(s)}$$

Consequences for interferometers

Characteristic features for phase shift of light travelling through interferometers arms

[Cornish, Allen et al., Smith and Caldwell, Thorne et al]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{12}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \phi_0 \left[1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} df \int d^2 \vec{n} \sum_s \gamma^{(s)} e^{(s)}_{ab} e^{i 2\pi f(t - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{x}_1)} \mathcal{D}_{ab} \left(f, \vec{\ell}_{12} \cdot \vec{n} \right) \right]$$

Phase change of light while travelling along arm Tensor mode function in Fourier space Arm transfer function

Depends on PS anisotropies

► Signal
$$s_1(t) = \Delta \phi_{12}(t - 2L) + \Delta \phi_{21}(t - L) + n_1(t)$$

> Phase 2pt function (in Fourier space)

$$\begin{split} \langle \Delta \tilde{\phi}_{ij}(f) \Delta \tilde{\phi}_{kl}^*(f') \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \, \delta(f - f') \, \delta^{pp'} \, S_h(f) \, \mathcal{R}_{p\,p'}^{ij,\,kl}(f) \\ & \swarrow \qquad \searrow \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Depends on tensor PS} & \hline \\ \hline \\ \text{Info about interferometer} \\ & \text{response} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle h_+^*(f,\hat{n})h_+(f',\hat{n}')\rangle & \langle h_+^*(f,\hat{n})h_\times(f',\hat{n}')\rangle \\ \langle h_\times^*(f,\hat{n})h_+(f',\hat{n}')\rangle & \langle h_\times^*(f,\hat{n})h_\times(f',\hat{n}')\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\delta(f-f')\frac{\delta^{(2)}(\hat{n}-\hat{n'})}{4\pi} \begin{pmatrix} I+\& & \&+i\& \\ \&-i\& & I-\bigotimes \end{pmatrix},$$

• Signal
$$s_1(t) = \Delta \phi_{12}(t - 2L) + \Delta \phi_{21}(t - L) + n_1(t)$$

Phase 2pt function (in Fourier space)

$$\left\langle \Delta \tilde{\phi}_{ij}(f) \Delta \tilde{\phi}_{kl}^*(f') \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \,\delta(f - f') \,\delta^{pp'} \,S_h(f) \,\mathcal{R}_{p\,p'}^{ij,\,kl}(f)$$

 \blacktriangleright Response function R contains info about PS quadrupolar anisotropy

$$\mathcal{R}_{p\,p'}^{ij,\,kl}(f) \equiv \int \frac{d^2\vec{n}}{4\pi} e^{i\,2\pi\,f\,\vec{n}(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_k)} \,\mathcal{D}_{ab}(\vec{\ell}_{ij} \cdot \vec{n},\,f) e^{(p)}_{ab}(\vec{n}) \,\mathcal{D}_{cd}^*(\vec{\ell}_{kl} \cdot \vec{n},\,f) e^{(p')}_{cd}(\vec{n}') \\ + \underbrace{\mathcal{Q}_{mn}}_{\downarrow} \int \frac{d^2\vec{n}}{4\pi} n_m n_n \, e^{i\,2\pi\,f\,\vec{n}(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_k)} \,\mathcal{D}_{ab}(\vec{\ell}_{ij} \cdot \vec{n},\,f) e^{(p)}_{ab}(\vec{n}) \,\mathcal{D}_{cd}^*(\vec{\ell}_{kl} \cdot \vec{n},\,f) e^{(p')}_{cd}(\vec{n}) \\ \downarrow$$

Time-dependent (annual?) modulation of phase 2pt function